# PEDIATRICS<sup>®</sup>

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes Risk in Midadult Life: The Role of Childhood Adversity

Claudia Thomas, Elina Hyppönen and Chris Power *Pediatrics* 2008;121;e1240-e1249 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-2403

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/5/e1240

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2008 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



## Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes Risk in Midadult Life: The Role of Childhood Adversity

Claudia Thomas, PhD, Elina Hyppönen, PhD, Chris Power, PhD

Centre for Paediatric Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom

The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

#### What's Known on This Subject

Socioeconomic disadvantage is associated with poorer health outcomes in adulthood, including obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. Little is known about the long-term influences of adverse childhood experiences, such as abuse and neglect, on adult health.

#### What This Study Adds

Some adverse childhood experiences increase the risk of obesity and thereby type 2 diabetes in midadulthood. The interrelationships among childhood adversity, socioeconomic factors in childhood and adulthood, adult lifestyle factors, and adult health suggest potential causal pathways for additional investigation.

#### ABSTRACT -

OBJECTIVE. Child abuse has been associated with poorer physical health in adulthood, but less is known about childhood adversity more broadly, including neglect and family problems, or the pathways from adversity to adult disease. We have examined how different stressful emotional or neglectful childhood adversities are related to adiposity and glucose control in midadulthood, taking into account childhood factors, and whether the relationships are mediated by adult health behaviors and socioeconomic position.

METHODS. This was a prospective longitudinal study of 9310 members of the 1958 British birth cohort who participated in a biomedical interview at 45 years of age. Primary outcomes consisted of continuous measures of BMI, waist circumference, and glycosylated hemoglobin at 45 years and categorical indicators: total obesity (BMI  $\geq$  30), central obesity (waist circumference:  $\geq$ 102 cm for men and  $\geq$ 88 cm for women), and glycosylated hemoglobin level of  $\geq$ 6.

RESULTS. The risk of obesity increased by 20% to 50% for several adversities (physical abuse, verbal abuse, witnessed abuse, humiliation, neglect, strict upbringing, physical punishment, conflict or tension, low parental aspirations or interest in education, hardly takes outings with parents, and father hardly reads to child). Adversities with the strongest associations with adiposity (eg, physical abuse) tended to be associated with glycosylated hemoglobin levels of  $\geq$ 6, but in most cases associations were explained by adjustment for adulthood mediators such as adiposity. Effects of other adversities reflecting less severe emotional neglect and family environment were largely explained by childhood socioeconomic factors.

CONCLUSIONS. Some childhood adversities increase the risk of obesity in adulthood and thereby increase the risk for type 2 diabetes. Research is needed to understand the interrelatedness of adversities, the social context of their occurrence, and trajectories from adversity to adult disease.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/ peds.2007-2403

doi:10.1542/peds.2007-2403

None of the funding sources had any role in the design and conduct of the study; the collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data; or the preparation, review, or approval of the article. The views and opinions expressed in the article represent those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of Health.

#### Kev Words

type 2 diabetes, HbA1c, obesity, child abuse, child neglect, lifestyle, socioeconomic factors, smoking, alcohol drinking, cohort studies

#### Abbreviations

SEP—socioeconomic position

WC—waist circumference

HbA1c—glycosylated hemoglobin

OR—odds ratio

Accepted for publication Oct 24, 2007

Address correspondence to Claudia Thomas, PhD, Centre for Paediatric Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Child Health, University College London, 30 Guilford St, London WC1N 1EH, United Kingdom. E-mail: c.thomas@ich.ucl.ac.uk

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275). Copyright © 2008 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

T IS WELL known that lower socioeconomic position (SEP) in childhood is linked to obesity¹ and cardiovascular disease in adulthood,² including insulin resistance³ and type 2 diabetes.⁴⁵ Early life abusive and neglectful experiences may also have long-term effects on health, with recent studies showing increased risks of obesity, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and liver disease, 6-1¹ as well as risks of associated health behaviors.¹2-1⁵ For example, adults exposed to abuse or who witnessed abuse of a family member,¹6-18 experienced family conflict,¹9 experienced parental separation,¹6,19 or experienced parental substance or alcohol abuse as a child¹6,19 have been found to be more likely to smoke. Similarly, those exposed to sexual abuse,²0 parental separation,²¹ and parental substance or alcohol abuse were reported to have a later risk of alcoholism.¹¹ However, the mechanisms linking stressful emotional and neglectful childhood experiences (adversities) to adult health are unclear, with little research using large, population-based longitudinal studies and with little evidence as to whether effects of these childhood adversities might be because of other exposures in early life.

Obesity and type 2 diabetes are important public health concerns<sup>22,23</sup>; thus, the relationship for childhood adversity with obesity and diabetes suggested by the literature deserves fuller investigation. Using data from a British cohort

followed from their birth in 1958, we sought to establish (1) whether different stressful emotional or neglectful childhood adversities are related to adiposity and glucose metabolism in midadulthood; (2) whether associations for childhood adversities are because of other childhood factors, such as material disadvantage or cognition; and (3) whether childhood adversity is indirectly associated with adiposity and glucose metabolism through effects on adult health behaviors (smoking, alcohol consumption, diet, and physical activity) and socioeconomic destinations.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Participants**

The 1958 cohort consists of 17 638 participants originally enrolled in the Perinatal Mortality Survey all born during 1 week in March 1958 in England, Scotland, and Wales and subsequently interviewed in childhood (ages 7, 11, and 16 years) and adulthood (23, 33, 42, and 45 years).<sup>24</sup> An additional 920 immigrants with the same birth dates were recruited to the study up to age 16 years. By age 45 years, 1245 cohort members had died and 1300 had emigrated from Britain (n = 16013). At 45 years, contact was not attempted for 3004 members (for various reasons, such as members of armed forces), and 1038 gave a permanent refusal.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, a target of 11 971 cohort members who had not died or emigrated, were still in contact with the survey, and were able to provide informed consent were invited to a biomedical assessment at age 45 years: 9377 were interviewed (78%). The resulting sample was broadly similar to the original birth population with slight underrepresentation from unskilled manual backgrounds.25 Individuals with type 1 diabetes (59 self-reported at 42 years and 8 prescribed insulin [British National Formulary code 060101] at 45 years) were excluded from analyses (N = 9310). Ethical approval for the biomedical survey was given by the South East Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee.

#### Measures

#### Outcomes

Adiposity at 45 years was assessed by BMI, calculated as kilograms per meter squared, and waist circumference (WC) in centimeters. A nurse using a standardized protocol and equipment (scales and a stadiometer) measured height and weight without shoes and in light clothing. WC was measured by a nurse midway between the costal margin and iliac crest. World Health Organization recommendations for BMI (≥30 kg/m²) and WC (≥102 cm for men and ≥88 cm for women) were used to indicate total and central obesity.<sup>23</sup> Glucose control at 45 years was assessed by glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), reflecting average glucose levels over the last 2 to 3 months. HbA1c was measured from nonfasting venous blood samples using ion exchange high-performance liquid chromatography (Tosoh A1c2.2 Glycohemoglobin Analyser, HLC-723GHb [Tosoh Corp, Tokyo, Japan])<sup>26</sup> at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry,

Newcastle-on-Tyne Hospitals National Health Service Trust. Results were standardized to the HbA1c assay used in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. <sup>27,28</sup> As in our previous work, <sup>29</sup> HbA1c was analyzed as binary variable using 6% (2 SDs above the mean <sup>30,31</sup>) as a cutoff. Type 2 diabetes cases (doctor's diagnosis reported at 42 years or oral antidiabetes treatment at 45 years) were included in the definition of HbA1c  $\geq$ 6.

#### Childhood Adversity

Data were collected retrospectively (at 45 years) and prospectively at different ages in childhood. For retrospective reports, participants completed a confidential questionnaire, using a hand-held computer, about their childhood to age 16 years. The questions, used by the Path Through Life Project, 32 originated from the Parental Bonding Instrument, the British National Survey of Health and Development, and the US National Comorbidity Survey. The questions, listed ad verbatim in Table 1, have been grouped into 4 broad categories: emotional neglect, physical neglect, household dysfunction, and abuse, similar to groups used by the Adverse Childhood Experiences Study.7 Data on parental separation or divorce were collected at 33 years and supplemented with prospective data from parent interviews at 11 and 16 years.

Prospective measures were obtained at 7, 11, and 16 years, when a Local Authority Health Visitor interviewed the parents (usually the mother) using a structured schedule. We used information on low parental involvement with the child to indicate emotional neglect. This consisted of little interest in child's education rated for each parent by teachers at 7, 11, and 16 years (compared with some, very, or overconcerned); hardly ever has outings with mother or father (compared with occasionally or most weeks) at 7 and 11 years; mother or father hardly ever reads to the child (compared with occasionally or every week) at 7 years; and low parental aspirations indicated by the parents wishes for the child to leave school at minimum age collected at 11 and 16 years (compared with staying on after age 16 years). Physical neglect was ascertained by "appearance of child" as scruffy or dirty in the Bristol Social Adjustment Guide, judged by teachers at 7 and 11 years.<sup>33</sup> Household dysfunction is indicated by domestic tension (7 years), whether the child had ever been in local or voluntary care by age 16 years, whether the participant got on well with his or her mother or father (16 years), and parental alcoholism (7 years). For prospective items measured at >1 age, we classified respondents as having experienced that adversity if it was reported at least once.

#### Childhood Factors

SEP at birth was based on the fathers occupation using the Registrar General's Social Class and grouped as 1 and 2, 3 nonmanual, 3 manual, and 4 and 5 (including single-mother households). Where data were missing, father's SEP when the child was 7 years old was used. We used 3 measures of material (dis)advantage: type of accommodation at 7, 11, and 16 years of age categorized

TABLE 1 Description of the 1958 Cohort at 45 Years of Age (N = 9310)

Variable	n	Men	Women	Р
Biomedical outcomes at 45 y				
Mean BMI (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	9282	27.5 (27.4 to 27.6)	26.5 (26.3 to 26.6)	<.001
Mean WC (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	9225	97.8 (97.5 to 98.1)	84.6 (84.3 to 85.0)	<.001
Mean HbA1c (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	7862	5.26 (5.25 to 5.28)	5.14 (5.13 to 5.16)	<.001
Obesity (BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), % (n)	9282	25.3 (1168)	23.7 (1104)	.066
High WC (≥102 cm men, ≥88 cm women), % (n)	9225	32.6 (1497)	36.8 (1706)	<.001
Type 2 diabetes, % (n)	9225	1.68 (77)	1.16 (54)	.035
HbA1c ≥6 (excluding type 2 diabetes), % (n)	7751	3.20 (124)	2.09 (81)	.002
Childhood adversity, retrospective measures (45 y), % (n)	,,,,,,	3.23 (12.1)	2.03 (01)	.002
Abuse				
I was verbally abused by a parent	9243	6.65 (305)	9.41 (438)	<.001
I suffered humiliation, ridicule, bullying or mental cruelty from a parent	9242	5.76 (264)	8.87 (413)	<.001
I was physically abused by a parent—punched, kicked or hit or beaten with an object, or	9242	5.91 (271)	6.16 (287)	.611
needed medical treatment	7242	5.51 (2/1)	0.10 (207)	.011
I was sexually abused by a parent	9242	0.46 (21)	2.71 (126)	<.001
, , , ,	9242	0.40 (21)	2.71 (120)	<.001
Physical neglect	0242	1.70 (02)	2.52./164)	< 001
I was neglected	9242	1.79 (82)	3.52 (164)	<.001
Emotional neglect	0244	10.16 (466)	0.13 (370)	001
How affectionate was your father towards you? (father not at all affectionate)	9244	10.16 (466)	8.13 (379)	.001
How affectionate was your mother towards you? (mother not at all affectionate)	9244	1.85 (85)	5.13 (239)	<.001
Household dysfunction				
Did your father suffer from nervous or emotional trouble or depression? (had problems)	9239	9.32 (427)	10.87 (506)	.014
Did your mother suffer from nervous or emotional trouble or depression? (had problems)	9240	16.54 (758)	22.53 (1049)	<.001
Did your father have trouble with drinking or other drug use? (had problems)	9239	9.60 (440)	11.23 (523)	.010
Did your mother have trouble with drinking or other drug use? (had problems)	9240	3.38 (155)	5.43 (253)	<.001
How much conflict and tension was there in your household while you were growing up? (a lot)	9243	11.04 (506)	16.17 (753)	<.001
I had a strict, authoritarian or regimented upbringing	9241	24.72 (1133)	27.91 (1300)	<.001
I received too much physical punishment: hitting, smacking, etc	9242	6.39 (293)	8.85 (412)	<.001
I witnessed physical or sexual abuse of others in my family	9242	4.45 (204)	7.58 (353)	<.001
Separation or divorce of parents by age 16 y	8263	9.93 (401)	11.27 (476)	.049
Childhood adversity, prospective measures, % (n)				
Physical neglect				
Neglected appearance (BSAG: 7 and 11 y)	7805	3.98 (148)	2.47 (101)	<.001
Emotional neglect				
Mother hardly ever reads to child (7 y)	8092	15.58 (623)	14.41 (590)	.142
Father hardly ever reads to child (7 y)	7857	27.65 (1079)	27.49 (1087)	.878
Hardly ever takes outings with mother (7 and 11 y)	8868	6.59 (290)	4.68 (209)	<.001
Hardly ever takes outings with father (7 and 11 y)	8676	10.38 (448)	11.53 (503)	.086
Mother has little interest in education (7, 11, or 16 y)	8488	27.63 (1163)	25.29 (1082)	.014
Father has little interest in education (7, 11, or 16 y)	8302	31.20 (1286)	29.67 (1240)	.129
Low parental aspirations: leave school at minimum age (11 or 16 y)	8781	29.02 (1266)	26.82 (1185)	.021
Household dysfunction	0/01	23.02 (1200)	20.02 (1103)	.021
Domestic tension (7 y)	8154	4.32 (174)	4.46 (184)	.751
Alcoholism (7 y)	8150	0.67 (27)	0.75 (31)	.661
Does not get on well with mother (16 y)	7078	3.72 (130)		<.001
	7078 6848		5.66 (203)	
Does not get on well with father (16 y)	6895	6.14 (208)	8.99 (311) 2.96 (103)	<.001 .840
In care by age 16 y		2.87 (98)	. , ,	.040

t tests and  $\chi^2$  tests were used to test gender differences for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. BSAG indicates British Social Adjustment Guide.

as owner occupied, rented from the council, or privately rented; number of persons per room at 7, 11, and 16 years ( $\leq$ 1.0, 1.0–1.5, 1.5–2.0, or >2.0) and whether any child in the home received free school meals at 11 and 16 years. Birth weight for gestational age was based on measured weights (converted from pounds [ounces] into grams) and age in weeks. Cognitive ability was based on a general test at 11 years.<sup>34</sup>

#### Adulthood Mediators

Adulthood factors were regarded as potential mediators of the childhood adversity-adult outcome (obesity or HbA1c) association. SEP at 42 years (categorized as for childhood SEP) and qualifications attained by 33 years (none, less than O level, O level, A level, or higher) were used as indicators of adult social position. Smoking was reported at 42 years: 5 categories from never to current smoker of  $\geq$ 20 cigarettes per day. Frequency of alcohol consumption was recorded at 45 years: 5 categories from not in the last 12 months to  $\geq$ 4 times per week. Amount of alcohol was recorded as: 1 to 2, 3 to 4, 5 to 6, 7 to 9, or >9 drinks per day for those who consumed alcohol. Diet and physical activity were recorded at 33 and 42 years, as described previously.<sup>35</sup> Briefly, participants re-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data are the geometric mean.

ported chips, fried food, and fruit and vegetable consumption as never, <1, 1 to 2, or  $\ge 3$  days per week. Frequency of leisure activity at 33 and 42 years in 4 categories ranged from <2 to 3 times per month to 4 to 7 days per week. Watching television or using a computer (other than at work) was reported at 45 years, ranging from <1 hour per day to >4 hours per day (5 categories).

#### Other Confounders

Family history of type 2 diabetes (parent or sibling had diabetes) was ascertained from 2 data sources: at the 7-year follow-up, parents reported any history of diabetes in parents, brothers or sisters, and diabetes-related causes of death (original or underlying) of parents were available to the end of December 2003 and coded according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, codes E10 to E14.36

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Analyses were conducted on continuous (BMI, WC, and HbA1c) and binary (total obesity, central obesity, and HbA1c  $\geq$  6) outcomes using linear and logistic regression, respectively. Continuous outcomes were log transformed and regression results back transformed to obtain geometric mean differences. For HbA1c, robust SEs were used, because the homoscedasticity assumption could not be met through transformation of the dependent variable.<sup>37</sup>

Three models were fitted for each outcome. A basic model was fitted for each adversity variable separately, controlling for gender (plus family history of type 2 diabetes for HbA1c/HbA1c  $\geq$  6 and diabetes treatment for analyses of continuous HbA1c), and then adjusted for childhood confounding factors plus adulthood mediators. Linearity of continuous independent variables was assessed and quadratic terms were tested and included in models where necessary. The likelihood ratio statistic was used to test gender interactions by comparing the log likelihoods from models with and without the interaction term. Interactions with results at P values  $\leq$  .05 are presented separately for men and women.

The analysis sample depended on completion of childhood adversity questions at 45 years and valid data for each outcome: 9219 individuals formed the sample for analysis of BMI, 9165 for WC, and 7784 for HbA1c. However, samples with complete data on confounding factors or mediators were smaller. The model of HbA1c regressed on "doesn't get on with father at 16 years" was most affected by missing data: although 74% of the sample had valid data for the adversity, 57% were missing data on  $\geq 1$  covariate. To reduce possible bias, we imputed for missing data using the multiple imputation by chained equations method described by van Buuren.<sup>38</sup> As recommended, 10 copies of the original data set imputed for missing data were created, and a regression analysis that combines results from each data set was undertaken.<sup>39</sup> Comparison of results from complete data and multiple imputation models found generally consistent results, except that effect sizes for complete case results were slightly higher, suggesting bias, and were less likely to be statistically significant because of the smaller sample. Results based on imputation are presented. Analyses were conducted by using Stata 9.2 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

#### RESULTS

#### **Descriptive Information**

Men had a higher mean BMI, WC, and HbA1c and prevalence of HbA1c  $\geq$  6 (4.88% vs 3.25%), but more women had central obesity as defined by World Health Organization cutoffs (Table 1). Most study participants had  $\leq 1$  childhood adversity, as identified retrospectively (25% with 1 and 45% with 0 adversities) or prospectively (21% with 1 and 35% with 0 adversities). The most common retrospectively identified adversities were strict upbringing and a mother with depression, whereas common prospective adversities were low parental aspirations and lack of parental interest in education (Table 1). For the participants with some prospectively identified common adversities (eg, low parental aspirations), the proportion also reporting multiple (≥3) retrospective adversities was similar to that observed for the whole population (14% in all men and women), whereas for participants with some less common adversities, such as alcoholism, there was a higher percentage (47%) with  $\geq$ 3 adversities. Similarly, for retrospectively identified common adversities, such as strict upbringing, the proportion with ≥3 prospectively identified adversities was no greater than for the whole population (15% vs 19%, respectively); yet, among those with less common retrospectively identified adversities, such as neglect, there was a higher percentage (29%) with  $\geq 3$ prospectively identified adversities. Women were more likely to report exposure to childhood adversities on most retrospective questions except for lack of paternal affection, which was more prevalent in men, and physical abuse, which was reported by 6% of both sexes. Fewer gender differences were seen for prospective than for retrospective measures.

### Adverse Childhood Experiences, Obesity, and Glucose Metabolism

Regression results of the associations for adversities with BMI, central obesity, and  $HbA1c \ge 6$  are presented in the tables; results for total obesity, continuous WC, and HbA1c are referred to in the text where differences are relevant.

#### **Adversities Measured During Childhood**

Several adversities (mother hardly reads to child, does not get on with either parent, scruffy or dirty appearance, domestic tension, parental alcoholism, in care) were unrelated to BMI, central obesity, or  $HbA1c \ge 6$  (Table 2). These adversities were also unrelated to total obesity, continuous WC, or HbA1c (data not shown). Some adversities (low parental aspirations and little paternal or maternal interest in education) were significantly associated with increased BMI, central obesity, and  $HbA1c \ge 6$  at 45 years of age (Table 2). "Hardly

Regression Models for BMI, Central Adiposity, and Raised HbA1 c Levels at 45 Years With Exposure to Childhood Adversities Measured in Childhood TABLE 2

Model 1b         Model 2c           Physical neglect         Scruffy or dirty appearance         0.20 (-0.44 to 0.85)         -0.10 (-0.72 to 0.55)         -C           Emotional neglect         Mother hardly reads to child         0.21 (-0.08 to 0.51)         0.06 (-0.22 to 0.36)         C           Father hardly reads to child         0.28 (0.04 to 0.52)*         0.10 (-0.13 to 0.34)         C           Hardly takes outings with mother         0.73 (0.3 to 1.17)f         0.44 (0.02 to 0.88)*         C           Another little interest in education         0.46 (0.17 to 0.76)*         0.11 (-0.1 to 0.53)         C           Men         0.46 (0.17 to 0.76)*         0.71 (-0.20 to 0.44)         C           Women         1.18 (0.79 to 1.58)f         0.71 (0.28 to 1.17)f         C           Father little interest in education         0.07 (-0.75 to 0.39)         C		Model 1b 1.12 (0.89 to 1.40) 1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)	Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	-	41-1-14		pc 1 - 1 - 1 M
ppearance 0.20 (-0.44 to 0.85) -0.10 (-0.72 to 0.55) - aads to child 0.21 (-0.08 to 0.51) 0.06 (-0.22 to 0.36) ads to child 0.28 (0.04 to 0.52)* 0.10 (-0.13 to 0.34) ings with 0.73 (0.3 to 1.17)* 0.44 (0.02 to 0.88)* ings with 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)* 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53) erest in		1.12 (0.89 to 1.40)		Model 3a	Model 12	Model 2	Model 3 <sup>4</sup>
eads to child 0.21 (-0.08 to 0.51) 0.06 (-0.22 to 0.36) ads to child 0.21 (-0.08 to 0.51) 0.06 (-0.22 to 0.36) ads to child 0.28 (0.04 to 0.52)* 0.10 (-0.13 to 0.34) ings with 0.73 (0.34 to 1.17)* 0.44 (0.02 to 0.88)* ings with 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)* 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53) erest in		1.12 (0.89 to 1.40) 1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)					
ads to child 0.21 (-0.08 to 0.51) 0.06 (-0.22 to 0.36) ads to child 0.28 (0.04 to 0.52)° 0.10 (-0.13 to 0.34) ings with 0.73 (0.34 to 1.17)° 0.44 (0.02 to 0.88)° ings with 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)° 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53) erest in -0.46 (0.17 to 0.76)° 0.11 (-0.20 to 0.44) 1.18 (0.79 to 1.58)° 0.71 (0.28 to 1.17)° rest in -0.42 (0.13 to 0.73)° 0.07 (-0.25 to 0.39)	G F .	1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)	1.01 (0.80 to 1.28)	0.96 (0.75 to 1.23) 1.27 (0.73 to 2.19)	1.27 (0.73 to 2.19)	1.03 (0.58 to 1.80)	0.99 (0.53 to 1.85)
o-child 0.21 (-0.08 to 0.51) 0.06 (-0.22 to 0.36) child 0.28 (0.04 to 0.52)° 0.10 (-0.13 to 0.34) with 0.73 (0.3 to 1.17)° 0.44 (0.02 to 0.88)° with 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)° 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53)	(S) (F)	1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)					
child 0.28 (0.04 to 0.52)° 0.10 (-0.13 to 0.34)  with 0.73 (0.3 to 1.17)° 0.44 (0.02 to 0.88)°  with 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)° 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53)	<del></del>		0.97 (0.85 to 1.11)	0.95 (0.83 to 1.09)	1.06 (0.75 to 1.49)	0.96 (0.68 to 1.36)	0.93 (0.64 to 1.37)
with 0.73 (0.3 to 1.17) <sup>f</sup> 0.44 (0.02 to 0.88) <sup>e</sup> with 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81) <sup>9</sup> 0.21 (−0.1 to 0.53)  — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		1.11 (1.00 to 1.23)e	1.05 (0.94 to 1.16)	1.01 (0.91 to 1.13)	1.10 (0.83 to 1.46)	1.00 (0.75 to 1.34)	0.97 (0.70 to 1.34)
(ith 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)9 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53)		1.09 (0.90 to 1.32)	0.98 (0.80 to 1.19)	0.94 (0.77 to 1.15)	0.93 (0.55 to 1.57)	0.77 (0.46 to 1.32)	0.78 (0.43 to 1.42)
74th 0.49 (0.18 to 0.81)9 0.21 (-0.1 to 0.53)							
0.46 (0.17 to 0.76)9		0.11 (-0.19 to 0.44) 1.15 (1.00 to 1.33)	1.05 (0.90 to 1.21) 1.00 (0.86 to 1.17) 1.17 (0.82 to 1.68)	1.00 (0.86 to 1.17)	1.17 (0.82 to 1.68)	0.99 (0.68 to 1.43)	1.00 (0.66 to 1.52)
0.46 (0.17 to 0.76)9 0.11 (-0.20 to 0.44) 1.18 (0.79 to 1.58) <sup>f</sup> 0.71 (0.28 to 1.17) <sup>f</sup> 0.42 (0.13 to 0.73)9 0.07 (-0.25 to 0.39)	1				1.74 (1.34 to 2.26) <sup>f</sup>	1.39 (1.04 to 1.86)e	1.36 (0.99 to 1.87)
0.46 (0.17 to 0.76)9 0.11 (-0.20 to 0.44) 1.18 (0.79 to 1.58) <sup>f</sup> 0.71 (0.28 to 1.17) <sup>f</sup> -0.47 (0.13 to 0.73)9 0.07 (-0.75 to 0.39)							
1.18 (0.79 to 1.58) <sup>f</sup> 0.71 (0.28 to 1.17) <sup>f</sup> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	4) 0.11 (-0.21 to 0.47)	1.07 (0.92 to 1.24)	0.93 (0.79 to 1.10)	0.89 (0.74 to 1.06)			
——————————————————————————————————————	0.48 (0.06 to 0.95) <sup>e</sup>	1.35 (1.18 to 1.55) <sup>f</sup>	1.14 (0.99 to 1.33)	1.05 (0.90 to 1.23)			
ucation 0.42 (0.13 to 0.23)9 0.02 (-0.25 to 0.39)		1.17 (1.05 to 1.30) <sup>9</sup>	1.00 (0.89 to 1.13)	0.95 (0.84 to 1.07)	1.50 (1.17 to 1.92) <sup>f</sup>	1.17 (0.90 to 1.52)	1.09 (0.81 to 1.46)
0.42 (0.13 to 0.73)9 0.07 (-0.25 to 0.39)							
(600 00 000 ) (600 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	9) 0.07 (-0.23 to 0.42)						
Women 0.94 (0.58 to 1.32)f 0.46 (0.07 to 0.89) <sup>a</sup> (	0.27 (-0.13 to 0.72)						
Low parental aspirations 0.50 (0.28 to 0.73)f 0.09 (-0.14 to 0.34) -C	4) -0.05 (-0.28 to 0.18)	1.17 (1.06 to 1.29)9 $1.02 (0.92 to 1.13)$	1.02 (0.92 to 1.13)	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06)	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06) 1.51 (1.16 to 1.96) <sup>9</sup> 1.23 (0.92 to 1.64)	1.23 (0.92 to 1.64)	1.19 (0.86 to 1.64)
Household dysfunction							
Domestic tension 0.39 (-0.10 to 0.9) 0.11 (-0.36 to 0.62) (	2) 0.11 (-0.38 to 0.65)	1.09 (0.85 to 1.39)	0.99 (0.77 to 1.27)	0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)	0.99 (0.56 to 1.76)	0.85 (0.47 to 1.53)	0.83 (0.44 to 1.56)
-0.60 (-1.73 to 0.62)	2) -0.64 (-1.78 to 0.64)	0.92 (0.52 to 1.62)	0.80 (0.45 to 1.41)	0.75 (0.41 to 1.36)	0.95 (0.23 to 3.84)	0.79 (0.19 to 3.25)	0.90 (0.20 to 4.02)
Does not get on with mother $-0.01$ ( $-0.51$ to $0.51$ ) $-0.06$ ( $-0.54$ to $0.44$ ) $-0.06$	4) -0.02 (-0.49 to 0.49)	0.92 (0.72 to 1.17)	0.91 (0.71 to 1.15)	0.90 (0.70 to 1.16)	0.75 (0.38 to 1.45)	0.71 (0.37 to 1.39)	0.70 (0.34 to 1.44)
	5) 0.17 (-0.25 to 0.62)	1.13 (0.94 to 1.37)	1.11 (0.91 to 1.35)	1.12 (0.91 to 1.37)	1.07 (0.66 to 1.74)	1.04 (0.64 to 1.71)	0.99 (0.57 to 1.71)
In care by 16 y $-0.04 \ (-0.68 \ \text{to} \ 0.62) \ -0.39 \ (-1.01 \ \text{to} \ 0.26) \ -0.40 \ (-1.01 \ \text{to} \ 0.26)$	5) -0.44 (-1.02 to 0.21)	1.01 (0.75 to 1.36)	0.90 (0.67 to 1.22)	0.89 (0.66 to 1.20)	1.14 (0.54 to 2.39)	0.93 (0.44 to 1.98)	0.93 (0.40 to 2.13)

sizes are based on valid data for outcome and completion of childhood adversity questionnaire at 45 years. n = 9219 for BMI, n = 9165 for WC, and n = 7784 for HbA1c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data show the geometric mean difference.

CModel 2 was model 1 adjusted for birth weight for gestational age, childhood SEP, general ability (11 years), free school meals (11 and 16 years), type of housing (7, 11, and 16 years), and number of persons per room (7, 11, and 16 years). P Model 1 was adjusted for gender (plus current diabetes treatment, family history of diabetes for type 2 diabetes mellitus, or HbA1c ≥6).

e P value was <.05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> P value was  $\leq$  .001. <sup>9</sup> P value was  $\leq$  .01.

takes outings with father" was significantly associated with BMI and central obesity; the weak increased risk of  $HbA1c \ge 6$  associated with this adversity was nonsignificant (Table 2), but a significant increase in continuous HbA1c existed (odds ratio [OR]: 0.050% [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.001 to 0.090]). Adjustment for childhood factors reduced the associations for each outcome by >50%, usually with loss of statistical significance, but some associations remained significant, for example, those whose mothers had little interest in their education had higher BMI and increased likelihood of  $HbA1c \ge 6$ , and women also had an increased likelihood of central obesity (Table 2). Additional adjustment for adult mediators reduced the associations with adiposity or HbA1c  $\geq$  6 by up to an additional 20% and fully attenuated the associations in most cases, and only BMI (Table 2) and continuous WC (coefficient: 1.01 cm [95% CI: 0.12 to 1.99]) remained significantly higher for women whose mothers had little interest in their education.

#### **Childhood Adversities Reported at 45 Years**

Some adversities were unrelated and some were positively and others inversely associated with the adult outcomes (Table 3). A strict upbringing was significantly associated with increased BMI, central obesity, and  $HbAlc \ge 6$  at 45 years. Physical abuse was also associated with BMI and central obesity, but a borderline association existed for HbA1c  $\geq$  6 (Table 3); however, HbA1c increased significantly by 0.070% (range: 0.001-0.140). Adjustment for child or adulthood factors had little affect on the associations for BMI and central obesity. For HbA1c  $\geq$  6, adjustment for adult mediators also partially explained the association with childhood adversities, for example, the OR for a strict upbringing reduced by 17% with adjustment for childhood factors and a further 28% with adulthood factors, suggesting mediation by adulthood factors, such as adiposity.

Several adversities (verbal abuse, humiliation, neglect, witnessed abuse, conflict or tension, and physical punishment) were significantly associated with increases in adiposity but not  $HbAlc \ge 6$ , although moderate effect sizes existed for the latter that were explained by childhood and adulthood factors (Table 3). Adjustment for childhood or adulthood factors had little impact on effect sizes for adiposity, although some attenuation was observed for witnessing abuse for BMI and central obesity (Table 3). "Father drink/drugs" was not associated with adiposity, but for men, risk of  $HbAlc \ge 6$  remained increased after adjustment for childhood and adulthood factors.

Maternal and paternal depression was associated with a lower BMI (Table 3). Paternal depression was also associated with a significantly lower HbA1c for men (coefficient: -0.05% [95% CI: -0.10% to -0.01%]), with little change after adjustment. Although not statistically significant, after full adjustment, associations of sexual abuse were suggestive of a smaller WC (coefficient: -1.09 cm [95% CI: -3.01 to 0.98]) analyzed as a continuous variable and a reduced risk of HbA1c  $\geq$  6 (Table 3).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Some severe forms of childhood adversity, such as physical abuse or witnessing abuse of a family member, were associated with increased risk of total or central obesity by 20% to 40% and were not fully explained by confounding from other childhood influences or mediation by adult socioeconomic or lifestyle factors. Adversities with the strongest associations with adiposity in adulthood tended to also be associated with glucose metabolism, although in most cases associations were explained by adult mediators, including adiposity. Several markers of less severe stressful emotional environments showed moderate-to-weak effects and, for measures obtained prospectively, these appeared to be largely explained by other childhood factors. Given the limited evidence available to date, our study provides important insights on the extent to which effects of stressful emotional and neglectful childhood experiences persist to influence important markers of physical health >3 decades later.

The major strengths of the study include its longitudinal design, large sample, objective measures for glucose metabolism, BMI and WC, and rich data on childhood circumstances. Such data allow us to distinguish effects of neglectful and abusive experiences from adversities that are primarily socioeconomic. Our study has the additional strength of prospective measurement of childhood adversities, as well as information collected at 45 years of age using instruments developed elsewhere.<sup>32</sup> Retrospective data collection is subject to recall bias or influenced by current events or state of mind.40 Two previous studies have found that retrospective, but not prospective, reports of child abuse were associated with pain and drug abuse, suggesting that associations may be affected by recall bias. 41,42 However, prospective identification of cases may underestimate the incidence of abuse and be unrepresentative, with undetected cases being possibly more severe over a prolonged period.<sup>43</sup> Therefore, retrospective report may be preferable for the more extreme adversities, such as physical and sexual abuse.44 In general, the retrospective and prospective exposures examined in our study do not measure the same adversities (exceptions are family conflict and parental alcohol or drug abuse); hence, direct comparison between reporting methods is limited.

A main limitation of the study is the lack of glucose tolerance tests because of practical limitations. However, HbA1c provides an estimate of average glucose concentration over the previous 2 to 3 months and is a good predictor of microvascular and macrovascular outcomes when compared with the oral glucose tolerance test. 30,45 Given the large number of tests conducted as part of the current analyses, some may argue that correction for multiple testing would have been required. However, the use of multiple correction testing is not universally accepted, and corrections such as Bonferroni are known to artificially increase the risk of type 2 error.<sup>46–48</sup> As with all longitudinal studies, sample attrition had occurred over time, and although participation at 45 years showed only small biases by childhood class,<sup>25</sup> larger biases were apparent when complete data were examined for this study, and we, therefore, used multiple

TABLE 3 Regression Models for BMI, Central Adiposity, and Raised HbA1c at 45 Years With Exposure to Childhood Adversities Reported at 45 Years

Variable		Variable BMI (kg/m²) Central Obesity OR (95% CI)			Central Obesity OR (95% CI)		Type 2 Di	Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus or HbA1c $\ge$ OR (95% CI)	oA1c ≥ 6
	Model 1 <sup>b</sup>	Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	Model 3 <sup>d</sup>	Model 1 <sup>b</sup>	Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	Model 3 <sup>d</sup>	Model 1 <sup>b</sup>	Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	Model 3 <sup>d</sup>
Abuse									
Verbal abuse	0.47 (0.11 to 0.84) <sup>e</sup>	0.37 (0.02 to 0.74)e	$0.47 (0.10 \text{ to } 0.86)^{e}$	1.18 (1.01 to 1.38) 1.16 (0.99 to 1.35)	1.16 (0.99 to 1.35)	1.18 (1.00 to 1.39)	1.23 (0.82 to 1.84)	1.16 (0.77 to 1.75)	1.06 (0.68 to 1.66)
Humiliation	0.35 (-0.03 to 0.73)	0.25 (-0.12  to  0.63)	0.28 (-0.09 to 0.68)	1.21 (1.03 to 1.42)e 1.18 (1.00 to 1.39)	1.18 (1.00 to 1.39)	1.19 (1.00 to 1.40)	1.33 (0.89 to 2.00)	1.24 (0.82 to 1.86)	1.15 (0.74 to 1.80)
Physical abuse	0.73 (0.31 to 1.16) <sup>f</sup>	0.58 (0.17 to 1.01)9	0.64 (0.22 to 1.10)9	1.39 (1.17 to 1.65) <sup>f</sup>	1.34 (1.13 to 1.61) <sup>f</sup>	1.33 (1.11 to 1.60)9	1.39 (0.90 to 2.13)	1.25 (0.81 to 1.93)	1.02 (0.63 to 1.65)
Sexual abuse	0.09 (-0.68 to 0.89)	-0.18 (-0.91  to  0.6)	-0.09 (-0.82 to 0.72)	0.96 (0.68 to 1.35)	0.88 (0.62 to 1.25)	0.88 (0.61 to 1.26)	0.75 (0.23 to 2.37)	0.62 (0.19 to 1.98)	0.42 (0.12 to 1.50)
Physical neglect									
Neglected	0.32 (-0.29 to 0.95)	0.16 (-0.42 to 0.78)	0.22 (-0.37 to 0.87)	1.34 (1.03 to 1.73)e 1.28 (0.98 to 1.66)	1.28 (0.98 to 1.66)	$1.32 (1.01 to 1.73)^{e} 1.47 (0.79 to 2.73)$	1.47 (0.79 to 2.73)	1.30 (0.70 to 2.44)	1.32 (0.68 to 2.57)
Emotional neglect									
Father not	0.05 (-0.29 to 0.39)	-0.09 (-0.42  to  0.24)	-0.13 (-0.44 to 0.21)	1.04 (0.90 to 1.21)	0.99 (0.85 to 1.15)	0.97 (0.83 to 1.13)	1.01 (0.69 to 1.49)	0.94 (0.64 to 1.39)	0.93 (0.61 to 1.42)
affectionate									
Mother not	-0.16 (-0.68 to 0.38)	-0.37 (-0.87  to  0.15)	-0.34 (-0.83 to 0.19)	0.96 (0.76 to 1.21)	0.89 (0.70 to 1.13)	0.89 (0.70 to 1.14)	1.22 (0.67 to 2.21)	1.08 (0.59 to 1.98)	1.15 (0.59 to 2.23)
affectionate									
Household dysfunction									
Father depression	$-0.53 (-0.84 \text{ to } -0.21)^f$	$-0.42(-0.72 \text{ to } -0.11)^9$	-0.29 (-0.58 to 0.03)	0.95 (0.82 to 1.10)	0.98 (0.85 to 1.13)	1.05 (0.90 to 1.21)	0.77 (0.50 to 1.17)	0.80 (0.52 to 1.23)	0.82 (0.51 to 1.29)
Mother depression	$-0.34 (-0.58 \text{ to } -0.09)^9$	$-0.38 (-0.6 \text{ to } -0.14)^9$	$-0.31 (-0.53 \text{ to } -0.07)^{e}$	0.92 (0.83 to 1.03)	0.91 (0.82 to 1.02)	0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)	0.95 (0.71 to 1.28)	0.92 (0.68 to 1.24)	0.97 (0.70 to 1.35)
Father drink/drugs	-0.04 (-0.35 to 0.28)	-0.24 (-0.54  to  0.08)	-0.21 ( $-0.51$ to $0.11$ )	1.07 (0.93 to 1.23)	1.00 (0.86 to 1.15)	0.99 (0.86 to 1.15)		1	
Men	I	I					1.63 (1.06 to 2.51)e	1.47 (0.94 to 2.29)	1.70 (1.05 to 2.76)e
Women		l					0.76 (0.40 to 1.42)	0.70 (0.37 to 1.33)	0.70 (0.33 to 1.46)
Mother drink/drugs	0.19 (-0.28 to 0.68)	0.15 (-0.31  to  0.63)	0.26 (-0.20  to  0.77)	1.21 (0.99 to 1.49)	1.20 (0.98 to 1.48)	1.23 (1.00 to 1.53)	0.75 (0.40 to 1.43)	0.71 (0.37 to 1.35)	0.54 (0.27 to 1.12)
Conflict/tension	0.17 (-0.11 to 0.47)	0.05 (-0.22  to  0.34)	0.10(-0.18  to  0.4)	1.18 (1.04 to 1.34) <sup>9</sup>	1.18 (1.04 to 1.34) <sup>9</sup> 1.14 (1.01 to 1.29) <sup>e</sup>	1.14 (1.00 to 1.30)	1.10 (0.79 to 1.52)	0.99 (0.71 to 1.39)	0.87 (0.60 to 1.26)
Strict upbringing	0.26 (0.04 to 0.48) <sup>e</sup>	0.24 (0.03 to 0.47)e	0.26 (0.04 to 0.5) <sup>e</sup>	1.13 (1.03 to 1.25)e	1.13 (1.02 to 1.24)e	1.13 (1.02 to 1.25)e	1.40 (1.10 to 1.78) <sup>9</sup>	1.33 (1.04 to 1.71)e	1.22 (0.93 to 1.59)
Physical punishment	0.27 (-0.10 to 0.64)	0.12 (-0.24 to 0.49)	0.16 (-0.20 to 0.55)	1.29 (1.10 to 1.51) <sup>9</sup>	.29 (1.10 to 1.51) <sup>9</sup> 1.24 (1.06 to 1.46) <sup>9</sup>	1.24 (1.05 to 1.47) <sup>9</sup>	1.28 (0.86 to 1.91)	1.14 (0.76 to 1.71)	0.99 (0.63 to 1.54)
Witnessed abuse	0.48 (0.06 to 0.90) <sup>e</sup>	0.26 (-0.14  to  0.68)	0.33 (-0.08 to 0.78)	1.30 (1.09 to 1.55) <sup>9</sup>	.30 (1.09 to 1.55)9 1.21 (1.01 to 1.45)e	1.23 (1.02 to 1.48)e	1.25 (0.79 to 1.98)	1.08 (0.68 to 1.71)	0.90 (0.54 to 1.50)
Parents divorced <sup>h</sup>	0.18 (-0.15 to 0.52)	0.02 (-0.31 to 0.36)	0.01 (-0.32 to 0.37)	1.00 (0.86 to 1.16)	0.95 (0.81 to 1.11)	0.92 (0.78 to 1.09)	1.03 (0.69 to 1.54)	0.93 (0.62 to 1.41)	0.88 (0.56 to 1.38)

sizes are based on valid data for outcome and completion of childhood adversity questionnaire at 45 years. n = 9219 for BMI, n = 9165 for WC, and n = 7784 for HbA1c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data show the geometric mean difference.

P Model 1 was adjusted for gender (plus current diabetes treatment, family history of diabetes for type 2 diabetes mellitus, or HbA1c ≥6).

<sup>\*</sup> Model 2 was model 1 adjusted for BGA, childhood SEP, general ability (11 years), free school meals (11 and 16 years), type of housing (7, 11, and 16 years), and number of persons per room (7, 11, and 16 years).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>  $\rho$  value was <.05. <sup>f</sup>  $\rho$  value was  $\leq$ .001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> P value was ≤.01. h Data were reported at 33 years of age.

imputation to minimize bias associated with missing data. Finally, the prevalence of more extreme adversities was low, and, as a result, study power may have been inadequate for some associations potentially resulting in false-negative results.

We found that several different experiences, ranging from severe adversities, such as physical abuse, to other experiences, such as less severe forms of emotional neglect, increased the risk for obesity and, in doing so, increased the risk for poor glucose control. There was little evidence that family problems, such as parental separation or poor family relationships, increased the risk of obesity or poor glucose control, although some studies have reported associations with health behaviors and self-reported health problems. 16,19,21,49 Also, results from our study failed to confirm previous reports of increased risk of obesity in association with sexual abuse from North America, where a greater prevalence of sexual abuse has been reported, than from elsewhere.11,32,50-53 But to date, only a limited number of population-based studies have reported associations for childhood abuse or neglect and obesity, 10,11 and there is a lack of information for the wider range of stressful emotional experiences that exist for type 2 diabetes.

For some time, research on childhood adversity and adult health has focused on single types of adversity, particularly sexual or physical abuse. It is increasingly recognized that adversities tend to co-occur. We found modest evidence for specificity on the influence of any particular type of childhood adversity on adult health: in particular parental depression had inverse effects, physical abuse positive associations, whereas no effects were observed for other adversities, such as parental separation and relationships with parents. Clusters of other adversities demonstrated little specificity, thus, for some adversities at least, the long-term effects of adversity may not be because of any one adversity but may be related to unique combinations of adversities.54-56 In addition, adversities may occur within an unfavorable context, which may also be related to poor adult health. We found that less severe adversities, particularly those measured in childhood that reflected parental emotional involvement, were largely explained by other childhood factors with no more than an additional 20% explained by adulthood factors. This suggests that such adversities are linked to an unfavorable socioeconomic context, for which a relationship with obesity and diabetes risk has been demonstrated previously in the literature. 1-5

For more severe adversities (eg, physical abuse), the associations were insufficiently explained by childhood factors, suggesting either direct effects on adult health or indirect effects through setting individuals on trajectories to poorer health in later life. Although we found little mediation by adult socioeconomic and behavioral factors, trajectories leading from childhood adversity to adult health are likely to be more complex. For example, adversity may impair psychosocial function in childhood that, in turn, limits educational and socioeconomic attainment in adulthood, increasing the risk for poor physical health outcomes. One study has suggested a role for psychosocial function as a mediator between "harsh par-

enting" and the metabolic syndrome.57 In addition, the physical health effects of adversity may be felt in childhood, such as slower development (if undernourished) or obesity (poor quality diet or lack of physical activity), that influences body size in adulthood. It is also possible that obesity in childhood would, per se, influence the risk of being abused, thereby leading to spurious associations with adult health. However, we found no evidence of this in the 1958 cohort, and although abused children had higher BMIs in adulthood, they had lower BMIs than nonabused children at 7 and 11 years of age (data not shown). There is also evidence for biological pathways that severe adversities experienced in childhood could affect the brain, and, through the effects of increased glucocorticoid secretion and neuroendocrine regulation of eating and smoking behaviors, increase the risk for poor physical health.51,58 Thus, additional research is needed to delineate the mechanisms linking childhood adversity to adult health.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

This study, using data from a general population sample representative of British adults in midlife, suggests that stressful emotional experiences in childhood are associated with an increased likelihood of obesity and thereby a greater risk of type 2 diabetes. Research is needed to understand the interrelatedness of adversities, the social context of their occurrence, and trajectories from adversity to adult disease.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This project was supported by a research grant from the National Health Service R&D Program (Secretary of State for Health, Department of Health, England). Research at the Institute of Child Health and Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children National Health Service Trust benefits from R&D funding received from the National Health Service Executive. Dr Hyppönen is funded by a Career Scientist Award from the Department of Health, England. Data collection at 45 years was funded by the United Kingdom Medical Research Council, grant G0000934.

We thank Dr Ian Gibb (Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle-on-Tyne) for biochemical analysis of HbAlc and Prof Bryan Rodgers (The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia) for his input to childhood adversity measures in this study.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Parsons TJ, Power C, Logan S, Summerbell CD. Childhood predictors of adult obesity: a systematic review. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1999;23(suppl 8):S1–S107
- Galobardes B, Smith GD, Lynch JW. Systematic review of the influence of childhood socioeconomic circumstances on risk for cardiovascular disease in adulthood. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2006; 16(2):91–104
- 3. Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. Socioeconomic position in childhood and adulthood and insulin resistance: cross sectional survey using data from British women's heart and health study. *BMJ*. 2002;325(7368):805
- 4. Kumari M, Head J, Marmot M. Prospective study of social and

- other risk factors for incidence of type 2 diabetes in the White-hall II study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164(17):1873–1880
- 5. Lidfeldt J, Li TY, Hu FB, Manson JE, Kawachi I. A prospective study of childhood and adult socioeconomic status and incidence of type 2 diabetes in women. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007; 165(8):882–889
- Dong M, Dube SR, Felitti VJ, Giles WH, Anda RF. Adverse childhood experiences and self-reported liver disease: new insights into the causal pathway. *Arch Intern Med.* 2003;163(16): 1949–1956
- Dong M, Giles WH, Felitti VJ, et al. Insights into causal pathways for ischemic heart disease: adverse childhood experiences study. *Circulation*. 2004;110(13):1761–1766
- 8. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of child-hood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 1998;14(4):245–258
- 9. Gunstad J, Paul RH, Spitznagel MB, et al. Exposure to early life trauma is associated with adult obesity. *Psychiatry Res.* 2006; 142(1):31–37
- Lissau I, Sorensen TI. Parental neglect during childhood and increased risk of obesity in young adulthood. *Lancet*. 1994; 343(8893):324–327
- Williamson DF, Thompson TJ, Anda RF, Dietz WH, Felitti V. Body weight and obesity in adults and self-reported abuse in childhood. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002;26(8):1075–1082
- Carlsson S, Hammar N, Grill V. Alcohol consumption and type 2 diabetes Meta-analysis of epidemiological studies indicates a U-shaped relationship. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48(6):1051–1054
- Howard AA, Arnsten JH, Gourevitch MN. Effect of alcohol consumption on diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;140(3):211–219
- Sargeant LA, Khaw KT, Bingham S, et al. Cigarette smoking and glycaemia: the EPIC-Norfolk Study. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2001;30(3):547–554
- 15. Will JC, Galuska DA, Ford ES, Mokdad A, Calle EE. Cigarette smoking and diabetes mellitus: evidence of a positive association from a large prospective cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2001;30(3):540–546
- Anda RF, Croft JB, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and smoking during adolescence and adulthood. *JAMA*. 1999;282(17):1652–1658
- 17. Anda RF, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences, alcoholic parents, and later risk of alcoholism and depression. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2002;53(8):1001–1009
- Rodgers CS, Lang AJ, Laffaye C, et al. The impact of individual forms of childhood maltreatment on health behavior. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2004;28(5):575–586
- 19. Kestilä L, Koskinen S, Martelin T, et al. Influence of parental education, childhood adversities, and current living conditions on daily smoking in early adulthood. *Eur J Public Health*. 2006; 16(6):617–626
- Dube SR, Anda RF, Whitfield CL, et al. Long-term consequences of childhood sexual abuse by gender of victim. Am J Prev Med. 2005;28(5):430–438
- 21. Hope S, Power C, Rodgers B. The relationship between parental separation in childhood and problem drinking in adulthood. *Addiction*. 1998;93(4):505–514
- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes. Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(5):1047–1053
- World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2000:Report No. 894
- Power C, Elliott J. Cohort profile: 1958 British birth cohort (National Child Development Study). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2006; 35(1):34–41

- 25. Atherton K, Fuller E, Shepherd P, Strachan DP, Power C. Loss and representativeness in a biomedical survey at age 45 years: 1958 British birth cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2008; 62(3):216–223
- Gibb I, Parnham A, Fonfréde M, Lecock F. Multicenter evaluation of Tosoh glycohemoglobin analyzer. *Clin Chem.* 1999; 45(10):1833–1841
- 27. Marshall S, Home P, Manley S, Barth JH, John WG. Standardization of glycated haemoglobin. *Diabet Med.* 2002;19:429
- 28. Marshall SM, Barth JH. Standardization of HbA1c measurements: a consensus statement. *Diabet Med.* 2000;17(1):5–6
- Thomas C, Hypponen E, Power C. Prenatal exposures and glucose metabolism in adulthood: are effects mediated through birth weight and adiposity? *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:918–924
- 30. Barr RG, Nathan DM, Meigs JB, Singer DE. Tests of glycemia for the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;137(4):263–272
- 31. Rohlfing CL, Little RR, Wiedmeyer H-M, et al. Use of GHb (HbA1c) in screening for undiagnosed diabetes in the US population. *Diabetes Care*. 2000;23(2):187–191
- Rosenman S, Rodgers B. Childhood adversity in an Australian population. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2004;39(9): 695–702
- Stott DH. The Social Adjustment of Children: Manual to the Bristol Social Adjustment Guides. London, United Kingdom: University of London Press; 1963
- 34. Douglas JWB. *The Home and the School*. London, United Kingdom: MacGibbon & Kee; 1964
- 35. Parsons TJ, Manor O, Power C. Changes in diet and physical activity in the 1990s in a large British sample (1958 birth cohort). *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2005;59(1):49–56
- 36. Hyppönen E, Davey-Smith G, Shepherd P, Power C. An intergenerational and lifecourse study of health and mortality risk in parents of the 1958 birth cohort (I): methods and tracing. *Public Health.* 2005;119:566–607
- White H. A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica*. 1980:48:817–838
- 38. van Buuren S, Boshuizen HC, Knook DL. Multiple imputation of missing blood pressure covariates in survival analysis. *Stat Med.* 1999;18(6):681–694
- 39. Greenland S, Finkle WD. A critical look at methods for handling missing covariates in epidemiologic regression analyses. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1995;142(12):1255–1264
- 40. Hardt J, Rutter M. Validity of adult retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences: review of the evidence. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2004;45(2):260–273
- 41. Raphael KG, Widom CS, Lange G. Childhood victimization and pain in adulthood: a prospective investigation. *Pain.* 2001; 92(1–2):283–293
- 42. Widom CS, Weiler BL, Cottler LB. Childhood victimization and drug abuse: a comparison of prospective and retrospective findings. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1999;67(6):867–880
- Kendall-Tackett K, Becker-Blease K. The importance of retrospective findings in child maltreatment research. *Child Abuse* Negl. 2004;28(7):723–727
- 44. Fergusson DM, Lynskey MT, Horwood LJ. Childhood sexual abuse and psychiatric disorder in young adulthood: I. Prevalence of sexual abuse and factors associated with sexual abuse. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1996;35(10):1355–1364
- 45. McCance DR, Hanson RL, Charles MA, et al. Comparison of tests for glycated haemoglobin and fasting and two hour plasma glucose concentrations as diagnostic methods for diabetes. *BMJ*. 1994;308(6940):1323–1328
- 46. Bender R, Lange S. Adjusting for multiple testing—when and how? *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2001;54(4):343–349

- 47. Perneger TV. What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. *BMJ*. 1998;316(7139):1236–1238
- 48. Rothman KJ, Greenland S. *Modern Epidemiology*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 1998
- 49. Stewart-Brown SL, Fletcher L, Wadsworth ME. Parent-child relationships and health problems in adulthood in three UK national birth cohort studies. *Eur J Public Health*. 2005;15(6): 640–646
- 50. Gorey KM, Leslie DR. The prevalence of child sexual abuse: integrative review adjustment for potential response and measurement biases. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1997;21(4):391–398
- 51. Gustafson TB, Sarwer DB. Childhood sexual abuse and obesity. *Obes Rev.* 2004;5(3):129–135
- 52. Molnar BE, Buka SL, Kessler RC. Child sexual abuse and subsequent psychopathology: results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91(5):753–760
- 53. Mullen PE, Martin JL, Anderson JC, Romans SE, Herbison GP.

- The long-term impact of the physical, emotional, and sexual abuse of children: a community study. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1996; 20(1):7–21
- 54. Dong M, Anda RF, Felitti VJ, et al. The interrelatedness of multiple forms of childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2004;28(7):771–784
- 55. Dubowitz H, Bennett S. Physical abuse and neglect of children. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9576):1891–1899
- 56. Maughan B, McCarthy G. Childhood adversities and psychosocial disorders. *Br Med Bull.* 1997;53(1):156–169
- 57. Lehman BJ, Taylor SE, Kiefe CI, Seeman TE. Relation of child-hood socioeconomic status and family environment to adult metabolic functioning in the CARDIA study. *Psychosom Med.* 2005;67(6):846–854
- 58. Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, et al. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood: a convergence of evidence from neurobiology and epidemiology. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2006;256(3):174–186

## Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes Risk in Midadult Life: The Role of Childhood Adversity

Claudia Thomas, Elina Hyppönen and Chris Power *Pediatrics* 2008;121;e1240-e1249 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-2403

	DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-2405
Updated Information & Services	including high-resolution figures, can be found at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/5/e1240
References	This article cites 54 articles, 25 of which you can access for free at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/5/e1240#BIBL
<b>Subspecialty Collections</b>	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):  Nutrition & Metabolism  http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/collection/nutrition_and_metabolism
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/Permissions.shtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/reprints.shtml

